**SDR**

Date: April 24, 2025

**I. PURPOSE**

Department of Defense (DoD) Supply Discrepancy Reporting (SDR) Process Review Committee (PRC) bi-monthly call series to discuss on going SDR issues within the DoD and other federal agencies.

1. **Additional Defense Enterprise Data Standards Office (DEDSO) SDR General Communication:** Do you have a question, hot topic, or an SDR-related update? We encourage you to e-mail us at DEDSO.SDR@dla.mil.
2. **Announcement**: The DEDSO Summit dates are TBD. Target timeframe is possibly fall of 2025 and it will be held virtually.

**II. ATTENDEES**

| **DoD ComponentService/Agency** | **Name** (Primary) | **Name** (Alternate) | **Name** | **Name** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **ODASD(Log)** | [x] Jeremy Amason  | [ ] Patrick O’Connell | [ ] Joseph Williams |  |
| **DEDSO** | [ ] Ben Breen | [x] Corbin Austin | [x] Mashiya Young |  |
| **DAAS** | [ ] Pablo Gomez | [ ] Gary Wooddell[ ] Robert Williams | [x] Sean Humenansky | [x] Marsha Lucas[ ] Diane Wood |
| **DLA** **HQ** | [ ] Troy Brown | [x] Jose Pereira | [ ] Jen Smith | [x] Eric Powell |
| **DLA Disposition Services** | [ ] Denisse Cunningham | [x] Keith Catt |  |  |
| **U.S. Army** | [x] Weston Caldwell | [x] Grant Broome | [ ] Tiffanie Dew |  |
| **USASAC FMS** | [ ] Erik Morales | [ ] Jeannette Guy | [ ] Alena Zayats |  |
| **U.S. Marine Corps** | [ ] Kevin Austin | [ ] Major Arielle Irsik | [ ] Marilyn Postell | [x] Steve Williams |
| **U.S. Navy/PDREP** | [x] Krystal Abreu  | [ ] Adam Altice | [x] Alex Sparks |  |
| **U.S. Navy FMS** | [ ] Jeri Davis | [ ] Barry Magagna III |  |  |
| **U.S. Air Force** | [ ] William Wenzel | [x] Danielle Woods-Lewis | [x] Tamara Barnett | [x]  Darvin Moore |
| **AFSAC FMS** | [x] Timothy Hecht | [x] Mark Passage |  |  |
| **U.S. Coast Guard** | [ ] Fred Haub |  |  |  |
| **DCMA** | [x] Laurie Cooper | [ ] Richard Mast |  |  |
| **DSCA** | [ ] Derrick Lee | [ ] Michelle Davis |  |  |
| **GSA** | [x] Carey DeForest | [x] Ben Hyatt | [ ] Nancy Bamford |  |
| **USTRANSCOM** | [ ] Dan Eisenberg | [x] James DeCarli | [x] Jennifer Watson |  |
| **SPRS** | [ ] Belinda Labourdette | [x] Danielle Coombs |  |  |

**All Call Participants:** Please MUTE phone during the call unless responding or asking a question. Do not place the call on HOLD.

**Reminders:** SDR records contain Controlled Unclassified Information (CUI). Please remember to mark CUI and encrypt when attaching or sending SDR details by e-mail.

**III. ACTION ITEMS**

| **Date Opened** | **Action** | **Assigned To** | **Date Due** | **Date Closed** | **Status** |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| ***11/07/2024*** | ***Ensure wrong-item materiel identifiers are included for wrong-item discrepancies. Rejection rule will be implemented on 05/01/2025.*** | [ ] DLA[ ]  U.S. Air Force[x]  U.S. Army[ ]  U.S. Marine Corps[ ]  U.S. Navy[x]  DAAS | *05/01/2025* |  | ONGOING |
| ***11/07/2024*** | ***Approved Defense Logistics Management Standards (DLMS) Change (ADC) Withdraws:*** *1045, 1084, and 1126*  | [ ] DEDSO | TBD |  | ONGOING |
| ***11/07/2024*** | ***ADC Withdraw: Defense Logistics Agency*** *(DLA) Headquarters (HQ) plans to formally withdraw ADC 1273.* | [ ] DLA HQ | TBD |  | ONGOING |
| ***12/18/2024*** | ***Add new Reply Codes 209/210 per ADC 1474.*****DEDSO SDR:** Asked U.S. Army to confirm if Reply Codes have been implemented?**U.S. Army:**  Confirmed LMP has implemented ADC 1474 to add the 2 new reply code**DEDSO SDR:** Has PDREP implemented the new Reply Codes?**U.S. Navy:** No, not yet, but will be completed by 05/01/2025.***Will be implemented on 05/01/2025*** | [x] DLA[ ]  U.S. Air Force[x]  U.S. Army[x]  U.S. Marine Corps[x]  U.S. Navy[x]  DAAS | *05/01/2025* |  | OPEN |
| ***01/30/2025*** | ***Update Air Force Security Assistance and Cooperation Directorate (AFSAC) Department of Defense Activity Address Code (DoDAAC) FG2303.*****DEDSO SDR:** Transitioning to the new AFSAC DoDAAC is on track for 06/01/2025.Testing will need to be completed. Defense Automatic Addressing System (DAAS)/DEDSO pulled data and no AFSAC DoDAAC transactions were seen going to Product Data Reporting and Evaluation Program (PDREP). Has Navy completed this update yet? **U.S. Navy:** Yes - no issues found. | [ ] DLA[ ]  U.S. Air Force[ ]  U.S. Army[ ]  U.S. Marine Corps[x]  U.S. Navy[ ]  DAAS | 06/01/2025 |  | OPEN |
| ***01/30/2025*** | ***Scrub DEDSO SDR distribution list for Component points of contact (POCs).******Sent reminders on 03/27/2025.******Update: If a response is not received by 05/01/2025, then meeting invites will be sent only to the PRC representatives of the Component.*** | [x] DLA [x]  ODASD[x]  U.S. Air Force [x]  GSA[x]  USASAC[x]  U.S. Army[ ]  U.S. Marine Corps[x]  U.S. Navy [x]  U.S. Navy FMS[x]  U.S. Coast Guard[x]  AFSAC[ ]  DAAS[ ]  DCMA[x]  DLA Disposition Services[ ]  DSCA[x]  USTRANSCOM | 03/12/2025 |  | OPEN |
| ***02/11/2025*** | ***Proposed DLMS Change (PDC) 1492, Mandatory Use of Shipment Notification******Placed on hold on 04/01/2025 due to DLA conducting data analysis. Please note, we are still collecting responses.***  | [ ] DLA [x]  ODASD[ ]  U.S. Air Force[x]  AFSAC[ ]  U.S. Army[x]  U.S. Marine Corps[ ]  U.S. Navy [ ]  U.S. Coast Guard[ ]  DAAS[x]  USTRANSCOM | ***On Hold*** |  | OPEN |
| ***04/22/2025*** | ***DLA to provide ticket numbers submitted to determine why WMS/DSS systems are changing the NSN on SDR transactions subsequent to the 00 submission.*** | [ ] DLA  | 05/01/2025 |  | OPEN |
| ***04/24/2025*** | ***New Monthly No-Pre-Positioned Materiel Receipt (PMR) SDR Report. DEDSO requested that all Components provide DEDSO SDR/DAAS feedback on report recipients and content.*** | [ ] DEDSO[ ] DLA HQ [ ]  U.S. Air Force[ ]  AFSAC[ ]  U.S. Army[ ] USASAC[ ]  U.S. Marine Corps[ ]  U.S. Navy [ ]  U.S. Navy FMS[ ]  U.S. Coast Guard[ ]  GSA[ ]  DCMA[ ]  DSCA[ ]  DAAS[ ]  USTRANSCOM | 5/8/2025 |  | OPEN |
| ***04/24/2025*** | ***PDC 1499, Update to DLMS IC 842A/W, Standard SDR and 842A/R, Standard SDR Reply Clean Up and Code Collision Update******DLA needs to determine whether they still need DAAS to map the SE/IL to the PU for WMS/DSS.*** | [ ] DLA HQ[ ]  DAAS | 5/19/25 |  | OPEN |
| ***04/03/2025*** | ***Restaffed PDC 1460APre-Positioned Release Orders Process with Supporting Document Number******Sent to the DASD for signature on 04/23/2025.*** | [ ] DLA [ ]  U.S. Air Force[ ]  U.S. Army[x]  U.S. Marine Corps[ ]  U.S. Navy[ ]  U.S. Navy FMS[ ]  U.S. Coast Guard[ ]  DAAS[x]  USTRANSCOM | 04/11/2025 |  | CLOSED |

**IV. AGENDA**

1. **Discrepancy Code Removal Impacts:** In order to prevent transaction rejections when a code is removed from Appendix 7.28, DAAS has implemented new logic to allow follow-on transactions to process when the associated 00-original transaction was accepted as valid. This change addresses an issue identified by the U.S. Army concerning the T7 discrepancy code and also addresses any rejections the removal of the 2-digit packaging discrepancy codes may have caused. DAAS has already reprocessed the failed transactions.

**No comments or questions heard.**

1. **WebSDR Metrics Table Updates:** During recent discussions with DAAS, it was discovered that set codes other than a correction (CO) are being used to update data elements. Components sending these transactions are noncompliant. Only a CO transaction can be used to update specific data elements as documented in ADC 1374 and 1374A. DAAS has implemented a rule to lock specific data elements recorded from the 00-original submission on the WebSDR metrics table to prevent follow-on transactions other than a CO from updating authorized data elements in the record. DAAS and DEDSO will work together to identify which SDR records and specific data elements need updated to reflect the data received on the 00-originals. DAAS has already updated records involving NSN changes.
2. **Action Items:** WMS/DSS to provide ticket numbers submitted to determine why these systems are changing the NSN on SDR transactions subsequent to the 00 submission.
3. **General Services Administration (GSA):** We have seen this before where the original has not changed, so we reject the CO, but no information has changed that would require updates on our end.
4. **DEDSO SDR Response:** This scenario is different. For example, an 11-Response was updating data elements, and the goal is to prevent this from occurring with this new rule. In addition, the issue we are seeing is that systems are replying and changing the NSN values, which creates problems within our metrics. We need the identified Components to explain why their systems are doing this. NSN is a core data element and cannot be changed.
5. **GSA:** From our perspective if there is a correction, we expect the customer to identify so we can evaluate.
6. **DEDSO SDR:** Can GSA provide the DEDSO SDR Team with some examples where corrections are received but nothing changes from the original?
7. **GSA:** Yes, GSA will provide to SDR team.
8. **DLA HQ:** Have there been any questions/responses from Alexa on this?
9. **DEDSO SDR:** No. Please note – we are looking for ticket numbers on this.
10. **Draft PDC 1502 Add New Fields for Materiel Owner, Storage Activity, and Supply Discrepancy Report (SDR) Responder to the Department of Defense Activity Address Directory (DoDAAD):** This change will add three additional fields to the DoDAAD. The new fields will allow the Central Service Point (CSP) POCs to flag activities that are authorized to be materiel owners, storage activities, and to respond to an SDR. We propose the DLA establish an Application Programming Interface (API) call with the DoDAAD to reduce the number of receipts posted to the wrong materiel owner when a PMR is unavailable. We also propose DAAS establish an API call with the DoDAAD, which will allow DAAS to reject SDRs back to DoD Component Storage Activities when an invalid SDR document type code is utilized, and to the initiator when the identified action activity is unauthorized to respond to an SDR.
	* 1. **DLA HQ:** If the API does not find the RIC on the authorized RIC list, it will be rejected?
		2. **DEDSO SDR Response:** The process would not allow the receipt to go through andDLADistribution would need to identify the authorized Materiel Owner. CSP flags in the DoDAAD will identify authorized Materiel Owners, so when the materiel is received and the RIC in the DoDAAD is not identified as an authorized Materiel Owner, it cannot be placed in that account and defaults to the process detailed in ADC 1466.
		3. **DLA HQ:** Who is working to consolidate and reconcile active RICs?
		4. **DEDSO SDR Response:** The CSPs would be required to go through the RICs and identify the authorized Materiel Owner and add to the DoDAAD.
11. **DLA Warehouse Management System (WMS) Attachment Issues:** WebSDR is receiving attachments from DLA WMS that:
12. have improperly formatted filenames,
13. contain invalid characters, and
14. are not synced to the SDR they belong to, which results in them not being processed.

These attachments will not be transmitted to other systems. DAAS has installed a script to catch these issues before they affect the attachment processing of other systems. They will now be reported as exceptions by the Global Exchange (GEX) helpdesk in the same way that other WMS exceptions are reported. **Update:** **DLA ticket and change request #s CHG0059008 - WMS attachment files to WebSDR contain invalid characters, TKT0373852, CHG0068258, CTASK0155035, SCTASK0488388, TKT.342138, TKT0361415, CHG0073069, CHG0072354, and TKT0377565.**

* + 1. **U.S. Air Force:** In the last meeting, issues posting pictures was brought up. Is that what was referred to regarding the helpdesk tickets and, if so, is there an estimated completion date (ECD)?
		2. **DEDSO SDR Response:** Yes, these are all the tickets that have been submitted to address the issues that we have seen.
		3. **DLA HQ/U.S. Air Force:** Agreed to sidebar meeting to further discuss ECD.
1. **Draft PDC 1499 DLMS 842A/W and 842A/R IC Clean Up and Code Collision Update:** This change updates both the 4030 842A/W and 4030 842A/R DLMS ICs by removing existing code SE Service Organization and adding code IL International Logistics Control Office Point of Contact. Code SE was temporarily borrowed to identify International Logistics Control Office Point of Contact while a data-maintenance action was requested.Subsequently, the X12 data-maintenance action was approved as new code IL International Logistics Control Office Point of Contact in version 5030. This update will ensure code collisions are avoided when existing code SE is used in other transactions and brings the 842 transactions in sync with the DEDSO data dictionary. **Update: Sent for comment on 04/17/2025. Responses due by 05/19/2025.  DAAS has a mapping note that states that the 1/1700/PER-01 qualifier must be ‘PU’ because DLA systems cannot handle both ‘PU’ and ‘SE’. Do WMS and DSS still require this mapping?**

**Example:**

1. **Current inbound 842A/W to WebSDR:**

**…**

**N1\*ZS\*\*10\*FA2075**

**PER\*SE\*JOHN** **DOE\*TE\*111-222-3333\*\*\*EM\*JOHN.DOE@dla.mil**

**…**

1. **Current outbound 842AW from WebSDR to recipient:**

**…**

**N1\*ZS\*\*10\*FA2075**

**PER\*PU\*JOHN** **DOE\*TE\*111-222-3333\*\*\*EM\*JOHN.DOE@dla.mil**

**…**

* + 1. **DEDSO SDR:** Question proposed toDLA/DLA Distribution – Does WMS and DSS still require this mapping?
		2. **DLA HQ:** Will look into this with DLA Distribution and follow up with Michelle.
1. **PDC 1492, Mandatory Use of Shipment Notification (Supply):** This change authorizes Storage Activities to suspend materiel delivered without a matching Advance Shipment Notification (ASN) (e.g., ASN, shipment status, or returns). Current policy requires shipping activities to transmit advance notification to the Inventory Control Point (ICP) upon shipment, however, the notification is not always transmitted to the receiving activity, which results in the arrival of unexpected deliveries.

Upon implementation of this change, the lack of an ASN will result in suspended stock. To reduce implementation efforts, DAAS will transmit a copy of the shipment notification to the ship-to address based on the notification sent to the ICP. On Hold. Placed on hold on 04/01/2025 due to DLA conducting data analysis. Please note we are still collecting responses.

1. **PDC 1460A Pre-Positioned Release Orders Process with Supporting Document Number:** Sent out for staffing on 02/20/2025. The purpose of this addendum is to extend the supporting document number qualifier ‘43’ to the additional DLMS ICs. ADC 1460 established the pre-positioned release order process and added the supporting document number to the DLMS 940R Materiel Release (4030) at 2/N9/0400.

At the three Air Logistics Complexes, the U.S. Air Force will map their internal maintenance document numbers on the DLMS 940R to the DLA WMS. The U.S. Air Force Stock Control System (SCS)/D035K is designed to receive the supporting document number mapped to subsequent transactions from WMS using the DLMS 842A/W, DLMS 867I, DLMS 945A, DLMS 856S, and DLMS 870S. This change impacts DLA WMS and U.S. Air Force SCS/D035K systems only. **Update: Sent to DASD for signature on 04/23/25.**

**No comments or questions heard.**

1. **New Monthly No-PMR SDR Report:** DAAS has developed a new no-PMR status report that incorporates PMR data from the Logistics Data Gateway (LDG). It was discovered that all SDRs reported for no PMR over the past two years had PMR established prior to receipt or after receipt. The report will aid in identifying trends and addressing systemic issues. A request to identify who should be included on the distribution list will be sent to each Component. DEDSO SDR will send the current report out to all the primary and alternate SDR PRC members for review and suggestions to improve usability.
	* 1. **DEDSO SDR:** We received the five examples from the U.S. Army; however we still need to determine what actions need to be addressed.
		2. **U.S. Navy/PDREP:** Can you please send me the PMR report?
		3. **DEDSO SDR Response:** The first PMR report was sent out on 03/13/2025. We will get with DAAS on running a more recent PMR report and send it out to all primary and alternates.
		4. **DAAS:** Please note that the PMR report has not been automated because we have not yet received feedback from the Components on desired report details; specifically, if it should be Service-only or include everything.
		5. **DEDSO SDR:** Request feedback from all Components on report recipients and desired content by the next bi-weekly meeting on 05/08/2025.

**V.** **RECENT TOPICS AND NOTES FROM PREVIOUS CALLS**

1. **Customer Type 6 and 7 SDRs Reporting Overages/Shortages with Action Code 1H/3B:** DEDSO will be drafting a PDC that will implement a new rule to prevent customers from submitting SDRs reporting overages and shortages with an action code of 1H or 3B. Customers will be required to submit overage SDRs with an action code of 1A or 2B. Customers will report shortage SDRs with an action code of 2A. Over and short shipments have a financial impact, therefore, the associated SDRs should not be submitted as information-only and automatically set to a closed status. This change will not apply to Security Assistance customers identified by a Military Assistance Program Address Code and document numbers starting with B, D, K, P, or T.
2. **Draft PDC 1472 Procedures for Correcting/Adjusting Ownership Changes After Goods Receipt:** This change introduces additional guidance and procedures for correcting, adjusting, or changing ownership of materiel stored at a DoD Storage Activity. The Financial Management Regulation requires materiel owners to correct any information erroneously reported to the general ledger within the next quarter after discovery. As such, DoD Components will now have **60** days to request a change in ownership due to lack of PMR at the time of goods receipt to obtain reimbursement. This change will require the DLA to withdraw ADC 1273 in its entirety. **Update: Sent to ODASD on 04/17/2025 for review/signature.**
3. **DoDAAC FA2075 Purge from DoDAAD – Impact to AFSAC ILCO Online System:** Update: 49 total transactions have been recovered and successfully reprocessed.

Discussion with AFSAC indicated they knew that FA2075 was deleted, but did not attempt to migrate to their “new” DoDAAC FG2303 yet.  In coordination with DEDSO and AFSAC (and surely some GEX/translation layers), DEDSO will prepare to make that transition.

1. **Request PRC Representatives (Reps) to Scrub DEDSO SDR Distribution List for Their Component POCs:** The invite and distribution lists need to be updated and validated. Moving forward, only those on this distribution list would be included on this call invite list. DEDSO will provide each Component PRC Rep with the list to review and update. **Update: If a response is not received by 05/01/2025, then meeting invites will be sent only to the PRC Reps of the Component**
2. **Wrong-Item Discrepancies:** For wrong-item discrepancies, materiel identification of the wrong item must be included per DLMS Volume 2, Chapter 17. It states:

C17.3.2.11.4. Report part number discrepancies when the part number marking appears to be inconsistent with the specified NSN. This includes when the part number received is not associated with the applicable NSN or when the part number cannot be matched to Federal Logistics Information System (FLIS) catalog data/FEDLOG. The SDR must include the part number and manufacturer’s Commercial and Government Entity (CAGE) Code for the item received. Part discrepancies will be submitted directly to the item manager.

C17.3.2.11.5. DoD WebSDR requires identification of the incorrect item received using discrete data elements (refer to the DLMS IC 842A/W). If multiple incorrect items are received under the same document number, use the appropriate discrepancy code for mixed stock and identify the first (highest quantity or controlled item) and use the remarks text to explain the mixed content shipment.

C17.3.18.2.10.2. SDR must include materiel identification. Materiel identification may consist of the NSN, manufacturer’s part number and manufacturer’s CAGE, or as authorized under DLMS for unique business processes (e.g., “mixed” or “unknown” for transshipper SDRs and local catalog identification number). Where wrong item discrepancies are reported, materiel identification of the wrong item must be included. Wrong item received may be identified as previously indicated or by description alone (citing “UNKNOWN” as the wrong item part number). Reject with disposition/status (Reply) Code 931.

WMS is sending wrong-item type 5/8 SDRs, but not including wrong-item information.

**Effective 05/01/2025, WebSDR will reject any new 00 SDRs with Reply Code 932 - Transaction rejected. Missing or invalid wrong-item information.**

1. **DEDSO SDR Data to Advana:** DEDSO is working with DAAS/Office of the Deputy Assistant Secretary of Defense for Logistics to have SDR data sent to Advana.
2. **X12 LIN Segment:** **On hold:** It has been brought to our attention that WebSDR translator is populating information on outgoing SDR transactions through the LIN30/31 qualifier/data pair (which is the way standard X12 should work), however, the IC only specifies data elements through LIN09. The DLMS IC makes it look like very specific qualifier/data pairs can only be placed in specific LIN positions 01-09.
3. DEDSO requested information regarding how each SDR system was programmed.
4. Questions or concerns before final wrap-up:
5. U.S. Army TACOM: Have there been any updates on TAV/Type V POC issues? I am receiving a lot of e-mails now.
6. DEDSO SDR Response: DLA agreed to send e-mails to specific TAV primary and alternate POCs.
7. DLA Land and Maritime (Stephen Rowe): Will provide you with the e-mail template.

**VI. FINAL WRAP-UP**

To view relevant DLMS changes please go to: [**Approved DLMS Changes (dla.mil)**](https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/Resources/ADC/)

**VII. SDR WORKING GROUP MEETING NOTES**

To view the most recent bi-monthly SDR implementation meeting notes please go to the SDR committee page: <https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/Committees/SDR/> and scroll down to SDR Working Group Notes.

**VIII. ACTIVITIES AND NEWSLETTER**

DEDSO monthly updates and activities for the DLMS community can be found at: <https://www.dla.mil/Defense-Data-Standards/About-Us/>